UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,116	03/31/2004	Andreas Kirchner	OST-041134	6567
	7590 12/05/2007		EXAMINER	
FACTOR & LAKE, LTD 1327 W. WASHINGTON BLVD.			MATHEWS, ALAN A	
SUITE 5G/H CHICAGO, IL (60607		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 00007			2851	
				DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE 12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

TH Application No. Applicant(s) KIRCHNER ET AL. 10/815,116 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Alan A Mathews 2851 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Alan A. Mathews. (3) (4)____ (2) Mike Lake. Date of Interview: 11-30-07. Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal Icopy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 1.3 and 4. Identification of prior art discussed: Werf et al. and Fuilsawa et al. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action

Clan a Mathens

Application No. 10/815,116

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments that the combination of Werf et al. and Fujisawa et al. was improper including additional or more clarifying arguments than were previously made. In addition, the Examiner pointed out that at least in claims 3 and 4 "the tilt axis" was ambiguous, since two "tilt axis" were previously recited. Applicant is going to file an amendment to the claims clarifying claims 3 and 4 (possibly by including the phrase "about which the reticle is tittled" after "the tilt axis" in claims 3 and 4. In addition, Applicant will formally include the additional argument made during the telephone interview as to why one would not combine Werf et al. and Fujisawa et al. in rejecting claim 1. The Examiner needs further search and consideration at this time before making a determination of patentability.